Incoherent Inelastic Neutron Scattering and Self-Diffusion

ROBERT ZWANZIG

National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (Received 21 August 1963)

The cross section for incoherent inelastic scattering of neutrons by a many-body system is shown to be related to the real part of a generalized self-diffusion coefficient. The latter quantity is defined as the transport coefficient in the extension of Fick's law of diffusion to arbitrary space-time variations of the driving force. The derivation is based on the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, in a form given by Kubo, and on a treatment of conventional self-diffusion due to Montroll. Previous results, given by Vineyard and by Singwi, Sjölander, and Rahman, are shown to be special cases of the present result.

 \mathbf{I} T has been shown by Van Hove¹ that incoherent inelastic neutron scattering is described by the frequency and wave-vector dependence of the quantity

$$S_{i}(\mathbf{\kappa},\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \\ \times e^{-i\omega t} \langle \exp[-i\mathbf{\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{R}(0)] \exp[+i\mathbf{\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{R}(t)] \rangle.$$
(1)

The position of the scattering nucleus at time t is $\mathbf{R}(t)$. The average is taken over a thermal ensemble at temperature T.

The purpose of this note is to point out an exact and remarkably simple relation between $S_i(\mathbf{x},\omega)$ and the generalized self-diffusion coefficient $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x},\omega)$. The latter quantity is defined as the transport coefficient appearing in the generalization of Fick's law of diffusion to arbitrary space-time variations of the driving force,

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{\kappa},\omega) = -\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{\kappa},\omega) \cdot (\nabla C)_{\mathbf{\kappa},\omega}.$$
 (2)

Here, $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ is the (\mathbf{k},ω) th Fourier component of the current density of the diffusing species, as a response to the (\mathbf{k},ω) th Fourier component of the gradient ∇C of the concentration of the diffusing species.

It is shown here that the two quantities S_i and **D** are related by

$$S_{i}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \left[\beta \hbar \omega / \pi \omega^{2} (1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega})\right] \mathbf{k} \cdot \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \cdot \mathbf{k}.$$
(3)

(The abbreviation $\beta = 1/kT$ is used.)

The connection between incoherent neutron scattering and self-diffusion has been observed previously. For example, Vineyard² derived

$$S_i(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \kappa^2 D / \pi \left(\omega^2 + \kappa^4 D^2\right) \tag{4}$$

using classical mechanics and an isotropic diffusion model. The quantity D is the self-diffusion coefficient D(0,0) in our notation. It should be noted that Vineyard's calculation did not allow for either frequency dispersion or spatial dispersion of the self-diffusion coefficient. Because of this, one should not place any reliance on the extra κ dependence coming from the denominator of Eq. (4). For small κ , Vineyard's result reduces to

$$S_i(\mathbf{k},\omega) \longrightarrow \kappa^2 D/\pi\omega^2$$
 (5)

which is in exact agreement with our Eq. (3) in the limit of small κ and small ω . This is the limit in which one may safely neglect frequency dispersion and spatial dispersion.

In the limit of small κ but arbitrary ω , and for an isotropic medium, Eq. (3) reduces to

$$S_i(\mathbf{k},\omega) \rightarrow [\beta \hbar \omega / \pi \omega^2 (1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega})] \kappa^2 \operatorname{Re} D(0,\omega).$$
 (6)

This agrees with the result found in the same limit by Singwi, Sjölander, and Rahman,³ after allowing for differences in notation.

The derivation consists of two parts. First, we use straightforward mathematical manipulations to express $S_i(\mathbf{x},\omega)$, as defined by Eq. (1), in terms of a quantity $D_{ab}(\mathbf{x},\omega)$, defined by Eq. (14). Then, by an independent argument, we show that $D_{ab}(\mathbf{x},\omega)$ is the generalized self-diffusion coefficient.

The derivation of Eq. (3) begins with the observation that

$$\omega^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \ e^{-i\omega t} \langle \rho(-\mathbf{k}, 0) \rho(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \ e^{-i\omega t} \langle \dot{\rho}(-\mathbf{k}, 0) \dot{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\rho(\mathbf{k},t) = \exp[i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}(t)]. \tag{8}$$

Equation (7) is an identity, provided that the timecorrelation function vanishes fast enough as $t \to \pm \infty$. In the present context there is no reason to doubt that this happens.

Next, we use the further identity

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{-i\omega t} \langle \dot{\rho}(-\kappa, 0) \dot{\rho}(\kappa, t) \rangle = [\hbar \omega / \pi (1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega})] \operatorname{Re}_{\sigma}(\kappa, \omega), \quad (9)$$

¹ L. Van Hove, Phys. Rev. 95, 249 (1954).

² G. H. Vineyard, Phys. Rev. 110, 999 (1958).

⁸ K. S. Singwi, A. Sjölander, and A. Rahman, *Inelastic Scattering* of *Neutrons in Solids and Liquids* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1963), Vol. I, p. 215.

where the quantity σ is defined by

$$\sigma(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ e^{-i\omega t} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\lambda \langle \dot{\rho}(-\mathbf{k},-i\hbar\lambda)\dot{\rho}(\mathbf{k},t) \rangle. \quad (10)$$

This identity can be verified easily by expanding in energy eigenstates. It also follows directly from theorems given by Kubo.⁴

Next, we introduce the current-density operator,

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{\kappa}) = \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{p}/m) e^{i\mathbf{\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{R}} + e^{i\mathbf{\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{R}} (\mathbf{p}/m)].$$
(11)

The momentum of the scattering particle is \mathbf{p} and its mass is m. The Heisenberg equation of motion for the density operator $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ is just the law of conservation of current density,

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\boldsymbol{\kappa},t) = i\boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{j}(\boldsymbol{\kappa},t) \,. \tag{12}$$

Consequently, $\sigma(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ may be written as

$$\sigma(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \beta_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \cdot \mathbf{k}.$$
(13)

Equation (13) introduces the tensor **D**; its Cartesian components D_{ab} are given by

$$D_{ab}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^\infty dt \ e^{-i\omega t} \int_0^\beta d\lambda \langle j_b(-\mathbf{k},-i\hbar\lambda) j_a(\mathbf{k},t) \rangle.$$
(14)

The desired Eq. (3) is obtained by combining Eqs. (7), (8), (9), and (13).

The rest of the derivation consists in establishing the *R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 12, 570 (1957). connection between $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x},\omega)$ and self-diffusion. This may be done conveniently by a slight extension of Montroll's calculation⁵ of the conventional self-diffusion coefficient, D(0,0) in our notation.

Montroll's procedure is based on the following observation. In linear irreversible thermodynamics, the driving force for self-diffusion, $-kT\nabla C$, and any externally imposed forces **F** are additive. Therefore, the self-diffusion coefficient can be found by calculating the response of the system to an external force. The calculation can be performed using Kubo's technique.⁴ The interaction of the system with an external field is given by the perturbation Hamiltonian

$$H' = \rho(-\kappa) U(\kappa, \omega) e^{-i\omega t}.$$
 (15)

This supposes that the external field U varies as $\exp[i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t)]$. The corresponding force is

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{\kappa},\omega) = -\left(\nabla U\right)_{\mathbf{\kappa},\omega} = i\mathbf{\kappa}U(\mathbf{\kappa},\omega)e^{-i\omega t},\qquad(16)$$

and the response, according to irreversible thermodynamics, should be written in the form

$$\langle \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \rangle = -\beta \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k},\omega), \qquad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{\kappa},\omega)$ is the appropriate transport coefficient. Application of Kubo's technique leads to Eq. (14) for the tensor **D**. Thus it is correct to identify $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{\kappa},\omega)$ with the generalized self-diffusion coefficient.

⁶ E. W. Montroll, in *Lectures in Theoretical Physics*, edited by W. E. Brittin, B. W. Downs, and J. Downs (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1961), Vol. III, p. 261.